



Docket No.: 1793.1172

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Chang-kyu CHOI et al.

Serial No. 10/757,994

Group Art Unit: 2615

Confirmation No. 5012

Filed: January 16, 2004

Examiner: Paul DISLER

For: ADAPTIVE BEAMFORMING METHOD AND APPARATUS USING FEEDBACK
STRUCTURE

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

A Statement of Reasons for Allowance was forwarded in the Notice of Allowability mailed July 1, 2008.

MPEP §1302.14 states, in part:

Where specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance (or indication of allowable subject matter) are accurate, precise and do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow upon the claims. The examiner should keep in mind the possible misinterpretations of his or her statement that may be made and its possible estoppel effects.

In the "Reasons for Allowance", the Examiner characterizes certain features of identified claims.


It is submitted that the Examiner's statement may not be an accurate quote with respect to each of the allowed claims. It is further submitted that the claims speak for themselves and should not be interpreted based on the Examiner's characterizations of the same, as the claims provide their own best evidence as to the reasons for allowance.

In summary, the Examiner's Statement "raises possible misinterpretations... and possible estoppel effects" (M.P.E.P. §1302.14) and is therefore improper.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: Sept. 19, 2008

By: 
David J. Cutitta
Registration No. 52,790

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501
202) 434-1501